

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/642,799                | 08/18/2003        | Michael W. Halpin    | ASMEX.72CP2D3CD        | 3691             |  |
| 20995 7                   | 590 02/14/2006    |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |  |
| KNOBBE MA                 | ARTENS OLSON & BE | KACKAR, RAM N        |                        |                  |  |
| 2040 MAIN ST<br>FOURTEENT |                   |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| IRVINE, CA                |                   |                      | 1763                   | 1763             |  |
|                           |                   |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/14/200 | 6                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |
|-----------------|---------------|
| 10/642,799      | HALPIN ET AL. |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |
| Ram N. Kackar   | 1763          |

| Advisory Action  | 10/642,799   | HALPIN ET AL.  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |
|  | Ram N. Kackar  | 1763   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add   | ress   |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO  | R ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c  | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C   | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)   |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).  on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da | g date of the final rejecting FIRST REPLY WAS For the same of the appropriation of the fee. The approprimally set in the final Office. | on.  ILED WITHIN  te extension fee  iate extension fee  ce action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief,   | will not be entered b  | ecause   |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  |  | TE below);   |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being</li> </ul>   | •  | ducing or simplifying  | the issues for   |
| appeal; and/or   |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |  | ected claims.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).  |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>  |  | timely filed amendme   | ent canceling the  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-<br>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | ll be entered and an e   | explanation of   |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:   |  |  | ·  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  | ×  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | It before or on the date of filing a No<br>d sufficient reasons why the affidav  | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>it or other evidence is  | t be entered s necessary and   |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome all rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fai  | Is to provide a  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach  | ned.   |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>   |  |  | nce because:   |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  |  | · ·  |  |
| 3. Other:  | RAM KA   |  |  |
|  | RAM KA   | ACKAR  |  |
|  | 00.1400  | EVAMINE  | 2 17/2   |

**Application No. 10/642,799** 

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument is no commensurate with the scope of the claim. Firstly the support arms refer to the arms at a distance from the verical shaft. There is no horizontal arm claimed. Secondly, these claims are method claims and the operation is not affected by this limitation.